	:			
t		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/644,862	PHILLIPS ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Sanjay Cattungal	3768	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicator Reply		th the correspondence add	ress
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3° SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic D period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION OF	CATION.  eply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this cor  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on 09 July 2007		
,	•	☐ This action is non-final.		
· —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims	٠		
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-15,17-22 and 24-31</u> is/ar 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-15,17-22 and 24-31</u> are	withdrawn from consideration.	ion requirement.	
Applicat	ion Papers			•
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Entre drawing(s) filed on <u>19 August 2003</u> Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ ob n to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar e correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)[ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority do:  2. Certified copies of the priority do:  3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the certified copies of the application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the certified co	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in A the priority documents have been I Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	opplication No received in this National S	Stage
Attachmer		4) ☐ Intentiew 9	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Noti 3) Info	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	-948) Paper No(	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application	

Application/Control Number: 10/644,862 Page 2

Art Unit: 3768

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-11 and 19-22, drawn to a method for setting parameters for contrast agent medical imaging, classified in class 600, subclass 458.
- II. Claims 12-15, 17, and 18, drawn to a method for setting parameters for contrast agent imaging involving first and second contrast agent detection technique, classified in class 600, subclass 458.
- III. Claims 24-31, drawn to a system for setting parameters for contrast agent medical imaging system, classified in class 600, subclass 458.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 3. Inventions I and II are directed to related process. The related inventions are distinct if the (1) the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; (2) the inventions do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; and (3) the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions as claimed are not capable of use together and have different mode of operation, function and effect. Furthermore, the inventions as claimed do not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants.
- 4. Inventions I and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be

Application/Control Number: 10/644,862 Page 3

Art Unit: 3768

practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practise another and materially different process, the system could be use to set parameters for contrast agents in many different ways as not mentioned in the method claims.

- 5. Inventions II and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practise another and materially different process, the system could be use to set parameters for contrast agents in many different ways as not mentioned in the method claims.
- 6. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. A telephone call was made to Mr. Craig Summerfield on August 22, 2007 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 8. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the

Application/Control Number: 10/644,862

-

Art Unit: 3768

requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

- The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.
- 10. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.
- 11. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sanjay Cattungal whose telephone number is (571)272-1306. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 5:00 pm.
- 13. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eleni Mantis-Mercader can be reached on (571)272-4740. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/644,862 Page 5

Art Unit: 3768

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

14. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

**SPC** 

Eleuthur næros næros næros næros se 3868